Appln. No. 10/643,661

Attorney Docket No. DKT01053

II. Remarks

Claims 15 through 18 and 20 through 24 have been allowed.

Upon a final review of this application and allowed claims, it became apparent that dependent claims 22, 23 and 24 recited improper dependency on independent claim 15 rather than independent claim 21.

It should be noted that this error is obviously inadvertent as the preamble to claims 22, 23 and 24 is consistent with that of independent claim 21, not claim 15, and further that claims 22, 23 and 24 are substantially the same as claims 16, 17 and 18 which recite dependency on independent claim 15.

Accordingly, entry of this Amendment under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.312(a) is both appropriate and requested.

Upon entry of the present amendment, Applicant respectfully submits that the no new matter has been added.

Respectfully submitted,

April 11, 2006

Date

David D. Murray (Reg. No. 28,64

Attorney for Applicants



BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395

-5-